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Abstract: The main goal of our research work is to develop and introduce an efficient image search portal using automated hidden tag through RANSAC algorithm. The existing search engines like Re-ranking method and Content Based Image Retrievals are returns thousands of images ranked by the text keywords extracted from the surrounding text. Hitherto many of returned images are noisy, disorganized or else irrelevant. So we developed a new system to overcome this problem and we precede our proposed system through this paper. This system will be very useful to the end user in required image retrieval process rather than the existing system. Even images which are not labeled or tagged by the upload user also retrieved in this system. This system will give efficient results and give better performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

As per the scientific investigation we chosen conceptual research, which is related to my abstract theory and ideas then we develop a new system with good concepts to reinterpret existing system. Nowadays most of the Internet gauge image search engines use only text information. Usually the end users type keywords in the hope of finding a certain type of images. [20]Web-based image search are become necessary in human life for many activates for preparing Presentation, Project Report, Quiz preparation, Web Design etc. [3],[15]There are two ways in general to receive an Image, [2] the first one is based on the tags which are related with the image and another one is Content Based Image retrieval, where user has to give a input image and the input image visual features are extracted and compared with visual features of Database images then the images which are having similar features are retrieved and displayed to the user.

2. SCOPE OF WORK

This system is going to develop on web technology. There will be an Admin user how is responsible for Portal settings and Portal Management. A user can able to register in the portal and able to upload Images. When Images are uploaded, object in the images are extracted and using visual feature extraction technique the object will be identified, based on the identification hidden tags are added with the image. When user is searching for the image, he has to provide query word which is compared with image hidden tags as well as post content given by the user and relevant images are retrieved. To identify the object set of images or image features should be maintained in server data base.
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3. METHODS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AUTOMATED HIDDEN TAG

To overcome this problem the proposed system has Auto Hidden Tagging (AHT) process, when a user upload the image into the web, the image objects are extracted and their visual features are compared with predefined object features and if there is a match then the auto tag process will add a corresponding hidden tag to the image. When user try to receive the image by query word then the query word will be compared with automated hidden tags and posted tags, based on the tag match either in post tag or in hidden tag the image will be retrieved and displayed to the search user (Figure 1).

3.1 Problem Statement

Many scholarships are accepted on Web Image Re-Ranking,[1], [3], [17] which is a combination of both tag and Content Based Image Retrieval given a query keyword, a pool of images are first retrieved founded on textual information. [2] By requesting the user to select a query image from the pool, rests of the images are re-ranked with the help of their visual similarities through the query image. [6],[7],[15][The problem in this system is there is a web image without tag, then that image is not retrieved in image re-ranking system. So we implemented a new system to overcome this problem.]

3.2 Offline Image Upload Method

When the user upload an image he as to provide image but he may or may not provide comments about the image. In this process there is an object detection technique. This process will identify the objects in the image and extract it separately. The next step is visual feature extraction, for the entire object extracted in previous step, visual feature is generated and generated visual feature are compared with pre-stored object feature in the server. Based on the comparison result the object.

Identification is happen. Once object is identified auto tagging process will select corresponding tag names and link with images which are called hidden tags or auto tags (Figure 1).

The proposed system evaluated by examining if the original image with correct annotation has been received or not. If not then the automated hidden tagging procedure is implemented after identifying the object using visual feature comparison with the help of pre-stored object feature. If the comparison is not matched, then the automated tagging process was executed automatically with the uploaded images then the AHT Images are stored in to the image Data Base else the images are directly stored in to the (Image Data Base) IDB. The object features are
extracted from the uploaded images by examining, if the uploaded image has without message or comments or normal tags [2]. The Accuracy test analysis is applied only on retrieved images that are considered to be useful. [15],[20] When the end user search the required images from the web by query word then the query word will be compared with Auto hidden tags and posted tags, based on the tag match either in post tag or in hidden tag the image will be retrieved and displayed to the search user.

3.3. Online Image Search Method

In this process user has to provide query words for which he wants retrieve the image. The query words are usually search with the comments which are inputted by the user during upload process where as in this system query words are do search process with auto tags and user comments. Based on matched tags corresponding images are retrieved. Then the retrieved images are undergo ranking process and based on ranks images are displayed as a search result to the query user (Figure 1).

4. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

4.1. Offline Process Algorithm

Object detection is the process of discovery instances of real-world objects such as faces, Vehicles, and hidden images or videos. An object detection algorithm usually uses extracted features and learning algorithms to distinguish examples of an object category. [3], [5] it is commonly used in applications such as image retrieval, security, surveillance, and automated vehicle parking systems.

Algorithm 1: Object Detection

Method: Gaussian Blur / Gaussian Filtering to smooth the image

1. Input : Image, Message
2. Initialize : Color conversion uses RGB ↔ GRAY and RGB ↔ HSV functions.
3. Output : Based on above functions result it uses ADAPTIVE_THRESH_MEAN_C & ADAPTIVE_THRESH_GAUSSIAN_C to detect the object.

Algorithm 2 : Identify object using visual feature extraction

1. Input : Select the Stored Object (SSO) which has High Score and let the score is HS.
2. Initialize : High Score ← HS
3. If (HS > = Threshold) then
4. Print “object is similar to SSO”
5. Else
6. Print “No Match”
7. Output : Extracted features are identified

Algorithm 3 : Auto Tag Generation Process

1. Input : Input Image, Post, User Id
2. Method : Using Object Detection Process identify the Objects
3. Initialize : N ← Number of objects detected in the image. M ← Number of Stored Objects
4. While (empty ← Auto Tag) do
5. For I = 1 to N
6. Read “Ith object”
7. For J = 1 to M
9. Let X ← feature match score
10. Store X linked with J
11. Next J
12. Shortlist highest score HS
13. If (HS > = Threshold) then
14. Auto Tag = Auto Tag + Jth Obj Classification Name
15. Next I
16. **Output**: Store the Input Image, Post, and Auto Tag in User Transaction Table.

### 4.2. Online Search Algorithm

**Algorithm 4**: Image Search by Online Processing

1. **Input**: Read the Input Query Word (IQW)
2. Check the IQW with Auto Tags of all the postings and Shortlist the matched posts - MP1
3. Check the IQW with Comments of all the postings and Shortlist the matched posts - MP2
4. MP2 removes the posts which are available in MP1.
5. Based on the Object detection matched scores, rank the Posts in MP1
6. Concatenate: DL β “MP1” +”MP2"
7. Retrieve: Post in DL
8. Output: Align and show the post to the users and stop.

### 5. EXPERIMENT AND RESULT

This section proceeding about methodology and the implementation of proposed system. The main objective of this work is to retrieve more number of relevent images through automated hidden tag approach. In web information retrieval system the image search user give a query keywords as an input, it finds the more relevent image as the output. The input image and predifened object features are compared by using SURF algorithm. [2] The performance of proposed approach can be evaluated by comparing with the normal tag search versus automated hidden tag search algorithms [1], [18] and [21]. The proposed scheme is tested using Automated Hidden Tag search image retrieval from the web and this method is implemented while the user uploading the images through the Offline process.

\[
AHT = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{Retrieved Image} = \text{Pre Stored Images with AHT} \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

We evaluate the performance of the proposed implemented Portal using automated hidden tagging method while searching image from the web. The evaluation is done by using the Accuracy and Recall measurement. The Accuracy is defined as the number of images are retrieved, divided by the total number of retrieved images. The Automated hidden tagging procedure is evaluated by examining if the original correct annotation has been extracted or not.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(\text{Auto Hidden Tagged Images} \cap \text{No. of Retrieved Images})}{\text{Total No. of Retrieved Images}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Experimental Result Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 shows the performance and experimental result analysis of uploaded total images with different categories with automated hidden tag method is implemented with these images while uploading in the offline. Here, we implemented comparative analysis for Tag search versus automated hidden tag search. According to the experimental analysis the proposed system has a better performance rather than the existing system.
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This accuracy test analysis is applied only on retrieved images that are considered to be useful. Automated hidden tagging method takes the image as helicopter, Laptop, Bat and Ball it compared with pre stored object features, so it produce better accuracy than the Normal tag search process, that is the accuracy in automated hidden tag based image information retrieval is 85%. According to our result analysis, proposed system gives 43% of improvement than the existing system.

5. CONCLUSION

Web Image Information Retrieval system plays very important role in web technology [20]. The key contribution of this paper is to provide efficient output with automated hidden tag method. The experimental result analysis is to shows the projected method while we raise the number of the upload images enhanced drastically. The Automated Hidden Tag produces efficient results than those achieved by normal Tag Based method [1],[6],[7], [16],[18], Content Based Image Retrieval and Re-ranking. Auto tagging process with visual feature extraction and comparison is a main technique used in this system. This system grantees quality image retrieval in short time.
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